



Editorial

Int Neurourol J 2025;29(2):57-58

<https://doi.org/10.5213/inj.2525edi02>

pISSN 2093-4777 · eISSN 2093-6931



Simplifying Complex Urological Information—A Practical Approach for Improved Patient and Professional Engagement

Jin Wook Kim^{1,2}

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4157-9365>

¹Department of Medical Informatics, Chung-Ang University, Seoul, Korea

²Department of Urology, Chung-Ang University Gwangmyeong Hospital, Gwangmyeong, Korea

Email: jinwook@cau.ac.kr

“We must aim at brevity, but not at the expense of clarity.”

– Marcus Fabius Quintilian, *Institutio Oratoria* [1]

The enduring wisdom of Quintilian reminds us that effective communication in medicine does not lie merely in the distillation of content, but in rendering that content intelligible without sacrificing its essence. In urology—where clinical nuances often intersect with deeply personal patient experiences—the need for clarity is not just academic; it is ethical and practical.

This issue of the *International Neurourology Journal* presents a compelling collection of studies that embrace this philosophy by exploring how complex medical and technical information can be meaningfully conveyed to diverse recipients: patients preparing for invasive procedures, nursing students developing clinical competencies, and the general public navigating sensitive health information in digital spaces.

Perhaps the most striking example of both the power and the peril of modern information dissemination is reflected in the study of social media's role in urologic healthcare [2]. As the article outlines, digital platforms have dramatically reshaped how patients access information, how professionals network, and how public health messages are spread. Patients use forums and video-sharing sites to learn about symptoms and treatments, to seek support, and to manage their health more proactively. Urologists, in turn, are utilizing these platforms for education, research sharing, and professional development. This dynamic engagement signals a transformative moment in the communication of medical knowledge.

Yet this evolution is not without consequence. The same mechanisms that democratize information also enable the rapid circulation of misinformation. In the review, the authors detail alarming patterns: false or non-evidence-based content on conditions such as urinary tract infections and genitourinary malignancies was found to be shared up to 28 times more often than accurate, peer-reviewed content. YouTube, Twitter, TikTok, and Facebook host an overwhelming volume of health-related material, but the majority of it lacks verification by medical professionals. Of particular concern, popular posts often achieve visibility not because of their scientific accuracy, but because of their emotional appeal, visual quality, or resonance with personal anecdotes.

This crisis of credibility has real clinical implications. When patients encounter conflicting or erroneous guidance—especially in sensitive domains such as urinary incontinence or erectile dysfunction—it can lead to anxiety, misdiagnosis, delayed treatment, or adoption of unproven remedies. Moreover, patients from digitally disadvantaged backgrounds may face disproportionate risks, as they are less equipped to evaluate the trustworthiness of online information.

In this context, the core challenge becomes not simply combating misinformation, but reshaping the way accurate information is presented. Medical communication must now operate within the framework of digital behavior. This means crafting content that is visually engaging, linguistically approachable, and tailored to the cognitive and emotional needs of the in-



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

www.kci.go.kr

Copyright © 2025 Korean Continence Society

www.einj.org

tended audience. Data shows that patients are far more likely to absorb material that feels relatable and accessible — even more so if it is presented via multimedia formats or community discussion channels.

Thus, the role of the medical professional is no longer confined to the clinic or the academic journal. Urologists and healthcare educators must become translators, not only of medical terminology, but of context, empathy, and cultural relevance. This shift demands intentional design of educational content and public messaging, rooted in the principles of health literacy and inclusive communication.

Two studies in this issue exemplify such intentionality [3, 4]. The first evaluates the impact of supplementary video information provided to patients prior to undergoing urodynamic testing — a procedure often associated with discomfort and anxiety. The study found that patients who received a concise, animated explanation in addition to traditional verbal and written materials experienced significantly lower anxiety scores. This intervention not only improved patient understanding but also likely enhanced procedural cooperation and satisfaction. By transforming clinical instructions into a simple, visual narrative, the study offers a model for how stressful medical encounters can be softened through thoughtful, multimedia education.

The second study applies a similar principle to the realm of professional training. By introducing video-based self-assessment to nursing students learning urinary catheterization, the authors demonstrated marked improvements in clinical skill proficiency. Students recorded their own procedures, reviewed them against structured checklists, and received guided feedback. This method not only empowered learners to take ownership of their education, but also bridged the theory-practice divide that plagues many healthcare training programs. Notably, the video component provided a safe, repeatable environment for critical reflection — an element often missing from conventional instruction.

These studies do more than demonstrate efficacy; they repre-

sent a philosophical shift in how we should think about education — whether for patients or professionals. Both interventions succeed not merely because they provide information, but because they do so in a format that respects the needs, perspectives, and emotional states of the audience. They move beyond delivery into the realm of design.

Taken together, the insights from these three studies underscore a central theme: the future of urologic communication must be both evidence-based and user-centered. As our tools for sharing knowledge expand, so too must our commitment to presenting that knowledge in ways that are engaging, digestible, and ethically sound.

As we move forward, let us take inspiration from these approaches and reaffirm our commitment to translational communication — bridging the gap between expertise and understanding. In doing so, we do not diminish the complexity of urology; rather, we reveal its relevance, humanity, and power to heal.

• **Conflict of Interest:** No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

1. Quintilian MF. *Institutio oratoria* (Book VIII). Loeb Classical Library ed. Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press; 1920.
2. Yu JE, Park JM, Kim JY. Social media in urologic healthcare: transforming treatment, management, and online medical communication. *Int Neurourol J* 2025;29:71-80.
3. Şahin M, Gürbüz M, Savun M, Filtekin YC, Can O, Canat HL. Effect of supplementary video information on patient anxiety before urodynamic testing: a randomized controlled study. *Int Neurourol J* 2025;29:135-41.
4. Kaya A, Durgun H. Empowering nursing students: how video-based teaching enhances urinary catheterization skills – a randomized controlled study. *Int Neurourol J* 2025;29:103-9.